

## Relationship Between Somatic Cell Count and Udder Health in Damascus Goats

Doaa, F. Teleb<sup>1\*</sup>, Hafasa, F. H. Youssef<sup>1</sup>, Azza M. El-Baz<sup>2</sup>, M. A. El-Sherbieny<sup>3</sup>

1. Sheep & Goat Research Department, 2. Dairy Microbiology Research Department, 3. Biotechnology Research Department. Animal Production Research Institute, Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

\* Corresponding author- Email address: d\_fteleb@hotmail.com

### ABSTRACT

This study aimed to investigate the relationship between SCC, milk yield and composition in Damascus goats in Egypt, as well as, to evaluate the validity of using SCC for monitoring early udder infection.

A total of 204 milk samples were collected at mid lactation (June 2013) from both halves of udders of 51 Damascus goats. Milk bacteriological examination was performed using all half milk samples of morning milking, while percentage of fat (F), total protein (P), lactose (L), total solid (TS), solid not fat (SNF) and SCC were estimated in all collected samples of morning and evening milking. In the present study, 68.3% of the udder half were bacteriologically free of mastitis (healthy), while 31.7% was infected. Seven types of microorganisms were isolated from the milk of Damascus goats. Intermammary infection (IMI) was categorized as 1- healthy (68.3%), 2- infected with single major pathogen (10.9%), 3- infected with single minor pathogen (7.9%), 4- infected with double major pathogens (2.97%), 5- infected with double minor pathogens (0.99%) and 6- infected with one major pathogen plus one minor pathogen (8.9%).

Milk yield was significantly higher in healthy than in infected goats, while insignificant changes were recorded on the percentage of protein, fat, lactose, total solid, solid not fat and SCC. Meanwhile, a negative insignificant correlation was detected between milk yield and SCC. Results showed that the highest milk yield was recorded in the 5<sup>th</sup> parity, while the lowest observed in the 1<sup>st</sup> parity. Moreover, percentages of protein, total solids and solids not fat were significantly higher for Damascus goats in the 2<sup>nd</sup> parity than other parities, with a parallel, but insignificant, increase in fat content. High SCC scores combine with alteration in milk composition, where protein and solid not fat percentage increased and lactose percentage decreased with the increase of SCC in milk (log SCC ranged from 6.51 to 7.25).

Although, a threshold of 1,000,000 cells /ml showed the best indication for IMI, this study showed that high SCC does not always reflect mastitis probably due to the apocrine secretion in goats. Animals with elevated SCC should declare positive mastitis after successful isolation and identification of bacteria causing mastitis. Nevertheless, in case of suspected udder infection, SCC in goat' milk could be suitable as early and cost-effective screening parameter till initiate further analysis.

**Key words:** Somatic cell counts, intermammary infection, parity, milk quality, goats

### INTRODUCTION

Goat's milk composition is similar to cow's milk, there for, it is consider to be of great important for processing goat milk, cheese, yoghurts, fermented milk products, etc. On the other hand, goat milk is relatively used as an alternative to cow milk due to its ease digestibility and low allergency (Mowlem, 2005). The chemical composition of goat milk

and its products are affected by various factors; mainly nutrition, breed, stage of lactation, parity, environment, season and udder health status (Park *et al.*, 2007).

Subclinical mastitis in goats has been reported as a common problem in herds. It is the main source of economic loss in milk production. It usually precedes the clinical forms of long duration, difficult to be detected, adverse effects

## Relationship Between Somatic Cell Count and Udder Health in Damascus Goats

on milk quality and production and thus constitutes a reservoir of microorganisms that lead to infection of other animals within the herd (Hamed *et al.*, 1993; Urech *et al.*, 1999; Shearer and Harris, 2003). Therefore, it is important to find efficient practical and inexpensive early diagnosis techniques to predict it (Contreras *et al.*, 1996).

The ability of SCC to predict IMI in goat milk is lower than in cow's milk because of the presence of many cytoplasmic particles due to the apocrine secretory process of goats (Raynal-Ljutovac *et al.*, 2007). Threshold of SCC between healthy and infected half- udderes were estimated in goat between 700,000 to 1,000,000 cells/ ml (Hinckley & Williams 1981) and 210,000 to 1,120,000 cells/ml (Leitner *et al.*, 2004). Meanwhile, El- Saied *et al.* (2003) reported that 1,600,000 cells/ ml SSC is indicator threshold for the intramammary health status of the Egyptian Nubian goats (Zaraibi).

In Egypt, Damascus goat is considered the most important exotic goat breed, where the demand for this breed increases due to its high milk and meat production. In addition, it show good adaptability to the environment in Egypt as compared to other imported breeds, thereby making it a desired breed by farmers for the genetic improvement of local breed. Therefore, this study was designed to investigate the connection between SCC, milk yield and composition in Damascus goats raised in Egypt, as well as, to evaluate the validity of SCC for monitoring udder infection.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experimental work was carried out at Sakha experimental station, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate, Animal Production Research Institute, Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation.

#### ***Animals and management:***

Animals were housed in semi-roofed yards. Feeding applied according to NRC (1981). Roughage (including Berseem, Trifoliumalexandrinum hay and bean straw) to

concentrate ratio was 40:60. The ration was offered twice daily at 8 am and 3 pm and clean water was available all time.

#### ***Data and sampling:***

A total of 204 milk samples were collected at mid lactation (June 2013) from both halves of udders of 51 Damascus goats. Samples were collected from both morning and evening milking. Teats of sampled animals were cleaned before milking using 70% ethanol. Animals were hand milked and the initial milk stripped from each half was discarded. Approximately 15 ml of milk samples were taken into sterile pre-labeled tubes and kept in icebox at 4°C until bacteriological and milk composition analysis. Both udders showed signs of clinical mastitis and milk samples showed obvious abnormal physical aspects were excluded from the study. In the present study, one sample was excluded from the analysis.

#### ***Bacteriological examination***

Bacteriological tests were carried out to investigate the status and type of IMI by the Standard Plate Count (SPC) according to Houghtby *et al.* (1992). Milk bacteriological examination was performed using all half milk samples of morning milking (101 samples). Detection and enumeration of mastitis organisms were performed by serial dilutions of milk samples, isolation and enumeration of pathogens applied according to the standard method (APHA, 1993). The presumptive coliform bacterial count was counted using Mac Conkey agar media. The presumptive *Streptococci* groups (*Str*) causing mastitis (*Str. agalactia*, *Str. disagalactia* and *Str. uberis*) were enumerated on modified Edward's media and blood was added to the media for identification of blood hemolytic bacteria. The presumptive *Staphylococcus aureus* (*Staph. aureus*) were counted on Barid Parker agar media with sheep blood for appearance of hemolysis. Blood agar with potassium tellurite was used with presumptive *Corynebacterium* species. All plates were incubated at 37°C and examined after 24 hours, then again after 48 hours; these selective and differential media were

obtained from Oxiod (Hampshire, England). These selective and differential media were chosen for the isolation and identification of mastitis inducing pathogens according to Collins and Lyn (1979). The results were expressed as colony forming unit (CFU/ml) of milk. Subclinical IMI was diagnosed when  $\geq 300$  CFU/ml of each colony type was isolated (McDougall *et al.*, 2010).

#### **Milk yield, composition and SCC:**

Total milk yields (MY) were calculated during lactation period of 210 days. Percentage of fat, total protein, lactose, total solid and solid not fat and SCC were estimated in all collected samples (203). Milk composition was estimated with infra-red spectroscopy (Milko-Scan 133B; N. Foss Electric, DK 3400 Hillerod, Denmark).

SCC of each milk sample was determined by the fluoro-opto-electronic method (Fossomatic 5000; Foss Electric apparatus, 3400 Hillerod, Denmark) 24 h post collection following the rules of the International Dairy Federation (1984). Original scales of SCC values were transformed to its corresponding logarithmic form according to Ali and Shook (1980) to meet the characteristics of hypothesis testing. The calculated SCC was grouped into 3 classes, the 1<sup>st</sup> class ranged 4.67 to 5.99, 2<sup>nd</sup> class ranged 6.0 to 6.48 and the 3<sup>rd</sup> ranged 6.51 to 7.25.

#### **Thresholds of SCC**

To evaluate the validity of SCC for monitoring infection, sensitivity and specificity were calculated at selected thresholds. Sensitivity was defined as the proportion of infected quarters (with major pathogen) with SCC above the selected threshold, and specificity was the proportion of non-infected quarters with SCC below selected thresholds. An optimal SCC threshold was determined as a function of the tested sensitivity/specificity (Martin *et al.*, 1987 and El-Saied *et al.*, 2003). Threshold values selected were 500, 1000 and 1500 cells/ml. The evaluation was carried out by using samples undergo both SCC and bacteriological examination.

#### **Statistical analysis:**

Statistical analysis was carried out using the general linear model procedure of SAS (SAS, 2000). Data was analyzed using the following model:

$$Y_{ijklm} = T_i + H_j + P_k + M_l + M^*P_{kl} + e_{ijklm}$$

#### **Where,**

$Y_{ijklm}$  is the studied (dependent) variable of log SCC, MY and F, P, L, TS and SNF%.

$T_i$  is the fixed effect of sampling time, where  $i = 1$  (morning) or 2 (evening).

$H_j$  is the fixed effect of udder half, where  $j = 1$  (right) or 2 (left).

$P_k$  is the fixed effect of parity, where  $k = 1$  to 6.

$M_l$  is the fixed effect of (IMI), where  $m =$  animal health status (1=healthy and 2=infected)

$M^*P_{kl}$  is the effect of interaction between IMI and parity.

$e_{ijklm}$  is the random error effect.

Another model was used to study the effect of different SCC classes on MY and F, P, L, TS and SNF%:-

$$Y_{ij} = S_i + e_{ij}$$

#### **Where;**

$Y_{ij}$  is the studied dependent) variable of MY and F, P, L, TS and SNF%.

$S_i$  is the fixed effect of SCC category 1 to 3 (1= 4.67- 5.99, 2= 6.0- 6.48 and 3= 6.51- 7.25).

Significant differences between groups were tested according to Duncan (1955). Moreover, person Correlation coefficient was assessed among SCC class with MY and IMI.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **Bacterial types isolated**

In the present study, 68.3% of the total half milk samples were bacteriologically negative half (healthy), while 31.7% was infected. Seven types of microorganisms were isolated from milk of Damascus goats. *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Coliform spp.*, *Streptococcus uberis*, *Streptococcus agalactia* and *Streptococcus disagalactia* were considered as major pathogens. Meanwhile, *Corynebacteria* and other *Staphylococci spp.*

Table 1: LSM ( $\pm$ SE) of total milk yield (MY), composition and SCC as depending on udder half, sampling time, parity and udder health status.

| Effect               | SCC (Log <sub>10</sub> )     | Total MY<br>kg                   | Milk composition (%)         |                               |                              |                                |                               |  |
|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
|                      |                              |                                  | F                            | P                             | L                            | TS                             | SNF                           |  |
| <b>Udder half</b>    |                              |                                  |                              |                               |                              |                                |                               |  |
| Right                | 6.26 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>a</sup> | 170.24 $\pm$ 7.72 <sup>a</sup>   | 3.67 $\pm$ 0.14 <sup>a</sup> | 2.76 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.57 $\pm$ 0.06 <sup>a</sup> | 11.71 $\pm$ 0.18 <sup>a</sup>  | 8.04 $\pm$ 0.10 <sup>a</sup>  |  |
| Left                 | 6.17 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>a</sup> | 170.58 $\pm$ 7.40 <sup>a</sup>   | 3.68 $\pm$ 0.14 <sup>a</sup> | 2.86 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.51 $\pm$ 0.06 <sup>a</sup> | 11.76 $\pm$ 0.18 <sup>a</sup>  | 8.07 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>a</sup>  |  |
| <b>Milking time</b>  |                              |                                  |                              |                               |                              |                                |                               |  |
| Morning              | 6.24 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>a</sup> | 171.10 $\pm$ 7.61 <sup>a</sup>   | 3.24 $\pm$ 0.14 <sup>a</sup> | 2.74 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.52 $\pm$ 0.06 <sup>a</sup> | 11.21 $\pm$ 0.18 <sup>a</sup>  | 7.96 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>a</sup>  |  |
| Evening              | 6.19 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>a</sup> | 169.72 $\pm$ 7.48 <sup>a</sup>   | 4.12 $\pm$ 0.14 <sup>b</sup> | 2.88 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.57 $\pm$ 0.06 <sup>a</sup> | 12.26 $\pm$ 0.18 <sup>b</sup>  | 8.15 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>b</sup>  |  |
| <b>Parity</b>        |                              |                                  |                              |                               |                              |                                |                               |  |
| 1                    | 6.12 $\pm$ 0.21 <sup>a</sup> | 135.38 $\pm$ 16.05 <sup>c</sup>  | 3.88 $\pm$ 0.35 <sup>a</sup> | 2.87 $\pm$ 0.20 <sup>ab</sup> | 4.59 $\pm$ 0.13 <sup>a</sup> | 12.04 $\pm$ 0.44 <sup>ab</sup> | 8.16 $\pm$ 0.02 <sup>ab</sup> |  |
| 2                    | 6.17 $\pm$ 0.21 <sup>a</sup> | 170.01 $\pm$ 16.66 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.08 $\pm$ 0.36 <sup>a</sup> | 3.15 $\pm$ 0.20 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.53 $\pm$ 0.14 <sup>a</sup> | 12.46 $\pm$ 0.46 <sup>a</sup>  | 8.38 $\pm$ 0.21 <sup>a</sup>  |  |
| 3                    | 6.21 $\pm$ 0.21 <sup>a</sup> | 173.51 $\pm$ 16.52 <sup>ab</sup> | 3.86 $\pm$ 0.36 <sup>a</sup> | 2.59 $\pm$ 0.20 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.65 $\pm$ 0.13 <sup>a</sup> | 11.80 $\pm$ 0.45 <sup>b</sup>  | 7.94 $\pm$ 0.21 <sup>b</sup>  |  |
| 4                    | 6.06 $\pm$ 0.21 <sup>a</sup> | 189.03 $\pm$ 16.31 <sup>ab</sup> | 3.77 $\pm$ 0.35 <sup>a</sup> | 2.80 $\pm$ 0.20 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.63 $\pm$ 0.13 <sup>a</sup> | 11.90 $\pm$ 0.45 <sup>b</sup>  | 8.13 $\pm$ 0.21 <sup>ab</sup> |  |
| 5                    | 6.25 $\pm$ 0.19 <sup>a</sup> | 191.06 $\pm$ 14.99 <sup>a</sup>  | 3.63 $\pm$ 0.32 <sup>a</sup> | 2.73 $\pm$ 0.18 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.49 $\pm$ 0.12 <sup>a</sup> | 11.55 $\pm$ 0.41 <sup>b</sup>  | 7.92 $\pm$ 0.20 <sup>b</sup>  |  |
| 6                    | 6.34 $\pm$ 0.26 <sup>a</sup> | 181.32 $\pm$ 20.51 <sup>ab</sup> | 3.83 $\pm$ 0.44 <sup>a</sup> | 2.68 $\pm$ 0.25 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.52 $\pm$ 0.17 <sup>a</sup> | 11.74 $\pm$ 0.56 <sup>b</sup>  | 7.90 $\pm$ 0.26 <sup>b</sup>  |  |
| <b>Health status</b> |                              |                                  |                              |                               |                              |                                |                               |  |
| Healthy              | 6.15 $\pm$ 0.44 <sup>a</sup> | 180.90 $\pm$ 43.26 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.10 $\pm$ 0.74 <sup>a</sup> | 2.81 $\pm$ 0.74 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.59 $\pm$ 0.28 <sup>a</sup> | 12.20 $\pm$ 0.94 <sup>a</sup>  | 8.10 $\pm$ 0.44 <sup>a</sup>  |  |
| Infected             | 6.22 $\pm$ 0.12 <sup>a</sup> | 165.87 $\pm$ 09.58 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.58 $\pm$ 0.21 <sup>a</sup> | 3.58 $\pm$ 0.21 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.55 $\pm$ 0.08 <sup>a</sup> | 11.63 $\pm$ 0.26 <sup>a</sup>  | 8.05 $\pm$ 0.12 <sup>a</sup>  |  |

a and b = Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

were considered as minor pathogens. The most dominant bacterial types isolated in this study were *Staphylococci spp.* (19.80%), *Coliform spp.* (13.86%) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (10.89). Similar bacterial isolation was reported by El-Saied *et al.* (2003) in Egyptian Zaraibi goats and in lactating goats in Thunen- Institute of organic farming, Germany (Tanja *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, Teleb *et al.* (2009) recorded that the most frequency pathogens isolated from milk samples of sub- clinically infected Zaraibi goats were *Staphylococcus aureus* (3.2 - 6.0%), *Streptococcus disagalactia* (3.2 - 3.6%), *Streptococcus uberis* (0 - 0.1%), *Coliform spp* (4.3 - 4.8%), *Staphylococci spp.* (7.2 - 7.5%), *Corynebacteria spp.* (15.1 - 16.9 %) and *Bacillus* (11.8 - 12.1 %). Eman *et al.* (2009) reported low counts of *Coliform* near to the standards in dairy food ( $1-10 \times 10^2$  CFU/ml).

Udder health status (IMI) was categorized in this study as 1- healthy (68.3%), 2- infected by a single major pathogen (10.9%), 3- infected by a single minor pathogen (7.9%), 4- infected by double major pathogens (2.97%), 5- infected by double minor pathogens (0.99%), 6- infected by one major pathogen plus one minor pathogen (8.9%).

#### **Milk yield, composition and Somatic cell count (SCC):**

Least square means (LSM) of total MY, SCC, as well as, percentage of F, P, L, TS and SNF at different sampling times (morning and evening), udder halves (left and right), parity and udder health status (IMI) are presented in Table 1.

The mean value of total MY in Damascus goats during lactation period of 210 days was 163.78 kg (ranged 81.70 - 258 kg). The overall mean of SCC was 6.15 (ranged 4.67 - 7.25), while the mean percentage of F, P, L, TS and SNF were 3.78, 2.79, 4.53, 11.79 and 8.01%, respectively. AL Khouri (1996) reported that total milk production as 2.5 kg/day during lactation period of 281-336 days in Damascus goats, while

Eissa (1996) recorded milk yield of 0.91 kg/day during lactation period of 159 days. Similar to our results, Eman *et al.* (2009) reported mean value of  $3.44 \pm 0.07$ ,  $2.75 \pm 0.05$  and  $4519.5 \pm 867$  ( $\log = 6.66$ ) for F, P and SCC, respectively for Damascus goats. On the other hand, Hadjipanayiotou (1995) obtained mean values of 4.26, 4.09, and 13.21% for F, P, and TS, respectively, in Damascus goats which are higher than that recorded in the present study. The differences between SCC reported in this study ( $47 \times 10^3 - 1763.6 \times 10^4$  cell/ml) and that reported by other authors could refer to multi factors such as stage of lactation, method of milking, season, breed and lactation number. Kalogridou-Vassliadou *et al.* (1992) reported that Alpine goats' milk had a slightly higher SCC and a wider range than Nubian milk (48,000 to 6,200,000 cells/mL vs. 78,000 to 2,800,000 cells/mL); Alpine goats' milk had a lower SCC than Anglo-Nubian goat's milk (Park and Nuti, 1985). In Bulgaria, SCCs were estimated as 2.5, 3.3 and 3.7 million cells/mL for Toggenberg, Saanen and Anglo-Nubian breeds, respectively (Petrova, 1997). Moreover, according to Fekadu *et al.* (2005), SCCs increase significantly as lactation advanced, which is considered normal in seasonal dairy goat herds. Zeng and Escobar (1996) and Vacca *et al.* (2010) reported a significant increase of SCC only in the period from spring till the summer. Zeng and Escobar (1995) reported that dairy goats with healthy udders may produce milk with  $> 1.0 \times 10^6$  cells/ml, particularly in late lactation.

Results showed that udder half had insignificant effect on milk yield, composition or SCC. Meanwhile, percentages of F, P, TS and SNF contents were significantly higher ( $P > 0.0001$ , 0.03, 0.0001, 0.01, respectively) in evening samples than in morning samples.

Milk yield, as well as percentages of P, TS and SNF were significantly ( $P > 0.001$ , 0.05 and 0.01, respectively) affected by parity (Table 1). The highest milk yield was recorded in the 5<sup>th</sup> parity, while the lowest level was observed in the 1<sup>st</sup> parity. Similarly, Zeng and Escobar (1995) and Kralickova *et al.* (2013) reported that goats in

## Relationship Between Somatic Cell Count and Udder Health in Damascus Goats

1<sup>st</sup> parity had the lowest daily milk yield than those in the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> parities. In other studies, the highest daily milk yield was recorded for goats in the 3<sup>rd</sup> and higher parity (Zumbo *et al.* 2004), while in the 1<sup>st</sup> parity (Strzałkowska *et al.*, 2010).

Moreover, percentages of P, TS and SNF were significantly higher in Damascus goats in the 2<sup>nd</sup> parity than other parities, which was parallel with an insignificant increase in fat content. Kralickova *et al.* (2013) reported that goats in the 2<sup>nd</sup> parity had significantly higher contents of TS, F and P in milk compared to goats in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> parity. Meanwhile, Zumbo *et al.* (2004) reported higher P and F levels in Nebrodi goats in the 3<sup>rd</sup> and higher parities. Teleb *et al.* (2009) reported that LSM of P, TS and SNF content in Zaraibi goats' milk were significantly affected by parity number with the highest levels observed in the 6<sup>th</sup> parity, while F content was significantly higher at the 1<sup>st</sup> parity. Strzałkowska *et al.* (2010) observed an increase in the contents of TS, F and P in goats in the 1<sup>st</sup> lactation. Addass *et al.*, (2013) recorded the highest fat content ( $4.62 \pm 0.03\%$ ) in goats in the 3<sup>rd</sup> parity. They also stated that fat content was significantly affected by breed and stage of lactation, while protein content was affected by parity and stage of lactation.

In the present study, parity had insignificant effect on SCC and lactose content. Similarly, Nudda *et al.* (2003) reported that SCC did not differ significantly among parities. Moreover, the highest level of lactose content was recorded in goats in the 3<sup>rd</sup> parity, which agree with Addass *et al.*, (2013), who stated that lactose level increase with parity number and lactation stage (lactose level=  $4.40 \pm 0.04\%$  at mid of lactation). Similar levels of lactose in milk were recorded in goats of different parities, where Zumbo *et al.* (2004) reported the highest lactose content in goats in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> parity (4.70 and 4.71%, respectively). Carnicella *et al.* (2008) observed statistically higher lactose content in goats in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> parity (4.7 %) compared to 3<sup>rd</sup> parity (4.6 %) while Strzałkowska *et al.* (2010) recorded the highest level in goats in the 2<sup>nd</sup> parity (4.55 %).

Moreover, Carnicella *et al.* (2008) and Strzałkowska *et al.* (2010) reported that parity had a significant effect on all parameters of goat milk. On the contrary, Zeng and Escobar (1995) stated that parity did not affect contents of basic milk components and SCC.

Results showed that milk yield was significantly ( $P > 0.01$ ) higher in healthy than infected goats half- udders, while insignificant change was recorded on the percentage of P, F, L, TS, SNF and SCC (Table 1). In addition, milk yield was negatively correlated with SCC ( $r = -0.02$ ), where milk yield decreased with the increase of SCC in milk. Min *et al.* (2007) described that in uninfected glands, SCC decreased as milk yield increased. They added that it is often assumed that SCC can be used as a proxy for IMI and that an increase in SCC causes a decrease in MY. Rota *et al.* (1993) showed that SCC follows an inverse lactation curve (the low cell counts coincide with high milk yield and vice versa). Koop *et al.* (2010) reported a negative correlation between SCC and MY. They suggested that SCC may affected by milk yield via a dilution effect. Moroni *et al.* (2005a) suggested that the negative relationship between SCC and milk yield was attenuated by the presence of infected animals. Moreover, injury of udder cells reduces the synthesis of milk constituents in the udder, as lactose, and changes permeability of membranes and interstitial spaces that increase the passages of components from blood to milk (Schultz, 1977).

Both udder status (IMI) and its interaction with parity number had significant effect on SCC, MY and percentage of F, P and TS ( $P > 0.05$ , 0.04, 0.03, 0.01 and 0.05, respectively). Sanchez *et al.* (1999) reported a positive relation between IMI and parity number in half udders of older than 5<sup>th</sup> parity does.

In the present study, percentage of milk P, SNF contents were significantly ( $P > 0.01$ ) affected by SCC classes (Table 2). Moreover, SCC correlates positively ( $r = 0.22$ ,  $P > 0.02$ ) with P and negatively with F and L percentage ( $r = -0.14$ ,  $P > 0.05$  and  $-0.17$ ,  $P > 0.01$ , respectively). The increase in percentages of P and SNF and

decrease in L content were recorded with the increase of SCC in milk (SCC ranged 6.51- 7.25). Similarly, Ying *et al.* (2002) reported that SCC correlates positively with the P percentage. Jones (2006) reported that F and L% were lower in case of infected udder than in non-infected one. Kifaro, *et al.* (2009) reported that sub-clinical mastitis causes a significant decrease in milk butter fat and an increase in milk protein of dairy goat in Tanzania. They reported insignificant decrease in milk lactose with the increase of mastitis severity. Molefe *et al.* (2013) observed a decrease in L and F content in Ettawah and PESA goats with the severity of mastitis as reported by Hamed *et al.* (1993), while P and TS levels increased with mastitis in PESA goats. They stated that the increase in SCC results from the transfer of white blood cells from blood to milk, which leads to changes in milk composition (Pirisi *et al.*, 2000).

The distribution percentage of the correct and false classifications, as well as sensitivity and specificity at different selected thresholds (500, 1000 and 1500 cells/ ml) are shown in Table 3. The most direct approach in selecting optimal thresholds to define presence of IMI is to select thresholds resulting in the lowest total number of diagnostic errors (Smith, 2006). A threshold of 1,000,000 cells /ml milk of Damascus goat in our study were shown to have a high sensitivity for identifying IMI. Result was lower than that reported by El- Saied *et al.* (2003) in Zaraibi (1,600,000 cells/ ml) and Smith and Sherman, 1994 (1.5 million or more).

## CONCLUSION

Parity affects significantly milk yield in damascus goats, where the highest milk yield recorded in 5th parity and the lowest in 1st parity. Milk yield was significantly higher in healthy than infected goats, while no significant changes were observed in SCC or milk composition. A negative insignificant correlation was detected between milk yields and SCC. In addition, high SCC scores alter milk composition, where protein and solid not fat percentages increased and lactose percentage decreased with the increase of SCC in milk (SCC ranged 6.51- 7.256). A threshold of 1,000,000 cells/ml or more showed the best indication for imi. However, this study showed that high SCC does not always reflect mastitis, therefore it is unclear if the increase of SCC accompanied the decrease in milk yield reflects the effect of disease on milk production or result from the dilution effect of milk yield. Animals with elevated SCC would declare positive mastitis after successful isolation and identification of bacteria causing mastitis. Nevertheless, in case of suspected udder infection, SCC in goat's milk could be suitable as early and cost-effective screening parameter for subclinical mastitis till initiate further analysis. A further study on large scale of sampling during lactation period (early, mid and late lactation) is recommended to identify various SCC thresholds in milk of damascus goats.

**Table 2:** LSM ( $\pm$ SE) of total milk yield and composition as depending on SCC class.

| SCC class  | Milk composition (%)         |                              |                               |                              |                              | Total milk yield (kg)          |
|------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|            | Fat                          | Protein                      | Total solid                   | Solid not fat                | Lactose                      |                                |
| 4.67- 5.99 | 3.77 $\pm$ 0.16 <sup>a</sup> | 2.67 $\pm$ 0.10 <sup>b</sup> | 11.73 $\pm$ 0.20 <sup>a</sup> | 7.95 $\pm$ 0.11 <sup>b</sup> | 4.59 $\pm$ 0.07 <sup>a</sup> | 175.03 $\pm$ 8.59 <sup>a</sup> |
| 6.00- 6.48 | 3.66 $\pm$ 0.13 <sup>a</sup> | 2.75 $\pm$ 0.08 <sup>b</sup> | 11.69 $\pm$ 0.17 <sup>a</sup> | 8.03 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>b</sup> | 4.59 $\pm$ 0.06 <sup>a</sup> | 165.79 $\pm$ 7.21 <sup>a</sup> |
| 6.51- 7.25 | 3.61 $\pm$ 0.16 <sup>a</sup> | 3.02 $\pm$ 0.10 <sup>a</sup> | 11.79 $\pm$ 0.21 <sup>a</sup> | 8.18 $\pm$ 0.11 <sup>a</sup> | 4.46 $\pm$ 0.70 <sup>b</sup> | 170.42 $\pm$ 8.59 <sup>a</sup> |

**a and b = Means with the same letter are not significantly different.**

## Relationship Between Somatic Cell Count and Udder Health in Damascus Goats

**Table 3:** Percentages of correct and false classification and sensitivity with specificity on the different SCC thresholds levels for Damascus goats in Egypt.

| Threshold levels<br>(cells/ml) x 10 <sup>3</sup> | Correctly<br>classified<br>samples | False<br>positive | False<br>negative | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 500  | 25                                 | 85.7              | 27.3              | 14.3        | 72.7        |
| 1000   | 57.1                               | 61.9              | 14.3              | 38.1        | 85.7        |
| 1500   | 18.2                               | 90.0              | 38.1              | 10.0        | 61.9        |

### REFERENCES

- Adass P. A., Tizhe M. A., Midau A., Alheri P. A. and Yahya M. M. (2013).** Effects of genotype, stage of lactation, season and parity on milk composition of goat, in Mubi, Adamawa State, Nigeria. *Annals of Biological Research*, 4 (8):248-252.
- AL Khouri, F. (1996).** *The Encyclopedia of Goats' Breeds in the Arab Countries*. The Arab Center for the Studies of Arid Zones and Dry Lands (ACSAD), Department of the Study of Animals Wealth Conservation of Biodiversity and Environments in the Arab Countries.
- Ali AKA and Shook G. E. (1980).** An optimum transformation for somatic cell concentration in milk. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 63:487-490.
- American Public Health Association (APHA) (1993).** *Standard Methods for the examination of Dairy products*. 16th ed. American Pub. Health Association, Washington.
- Carnicella D., Dario M., Maria C. C. A., Laudadio V. and Dario C. (2008).** The effect of diet, parity, year and number of kids on milk yield and milk composition in Maltese goat. *Small Ruminant Research*, 77: 71–74.
- Collins C. H. and Lyne P. M. (1979).** "Microbiological Methods" 4th ed Butter Warths Col. Billing and Sons Ltd., London, Guildford. Great Britain.
- Contreras A., Sierra D., Corralles J. C., Sanchez A. and Marco J. (1996).** Physiological threshold of somatic cell count and California Mastitis Test for diagnosis of caprine sub clinical mastitis. *Small Ruminant Research*, 21:259– 264.
- Duncan D. B. (1955).** "Multiple range test and multiple F test." *Biometrics*, 11 (1): 1- 42.
- Eissa M. (1996).** Improving Productivity of Desert Barki Goats by Crossing with Damascus Goats with Special Reference to Milk Production. (Ph. D. thesis) Egypt: Fac. of Agric. Alex. Univ.
- El-Saied U. M., Al-Ahwal1 R. E., Ahmed M. E., Ferial Hassan A. A. and Edrise B. M. (2003).** Relationship between somatic cell counts and intramammary infection in Egyptian Zaraibi goat. *Egyptian J. Anim. Prod.*, 40 (2):129-137.
- Eman L. M., El-Demerdash M. E. and Hashem M. E. (2009).** Survey study on goat milk from different breeds raised under Egyptian condition. *Egyptian Journal of Sheep & Goat Sciences*, Vol. 4 (1): 89 – 98.
- Fekadu B., Soryal K., Zeng S., Hekken D.V., Bah B. and Vilillaquiran M. (2005).** Changes in goat milk composition during lactation and their effect on yield and quality of hard and semi-hard cheeses. *Small Ruminant Research*, 59, 55–63.
- Hadjipanayiotou M. (1995).** Composition of ewe, goat and cow' milk and of colostrum of ewes and goats. *Small Ruminant Res.* 18, 255– 262.
- Hamed A.I., Abou- Zeid N. A., Keary K. M. K. and Radwan A. A. (1993).** Physical and chemical properties of subclinical mastitis in sheep's and goat's milk. *Egyptian J. Dairy Sci*, 21: 133- 149.



- Hinckely L. S. and Williams L. F. (1981).** Diagnosis of mastitis in goats. *Veterinary Medicine Small Animal Clinics*, 76:711– 712.
- Houghtby G. A., Maturin L. J. and Koenig E. K. (1992).** Microbiological count methods. Pages 213-246 in *Standard Methods for the Examination of Dairy Products*. 16<sup>th</sup> ed. R. T. Marshall, ed. Am. Publ. Health Assoc., Inc., Washington, DC.
- International Dairy Federation (1984).** Recommended methods for Somatic Cell Counting in milk. Doc. No. 168. Int. Dairy Fed., Brussels, Belgium.
- Jones G. M. (2006).** Understanding the basics of mastitis. Virginia Cooperative Extension. Pub (404-233). Virginia State University, USA, pp: 1-7.
- Kalogridou-Vassliadou D., Manolkidis K. and Tsigoda A. (1992).** Somatic cell counts in relation to infection status of the goat udder. *J. Dairy Res.* 59, 21-28.
- Kifaro G. C., Moshi N. G. and Minga U. M. (2009).** Effect of subclinical mastitis on milk yield and composition of dairy goats in Tanzania. *Ajfan online J.* 9 (1): 622- 634.
- Koop G., Van Werven T., Schuiling H. J. and Nielen M. (2010).** The effect of subclinical mastitis on milk yield in dairy goats. *J. Dairy Sci.* 93 :5809–5817.
- KralickovaŠ, Kuchtik J., Filipcik R., Luzova T. and Šustova K. (2013):** Effect of chosen factors on milk yield, basic composition and somatic cell count of organic milk of Brown short-haired goats. *Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis*, LXI, No. 1: 99–105.
- Leitner G., Merin U., Silanikove N., Ezra E., Chaffer M., Gollop N., Winkler M., Glickman A. and Saran A. (2004).** Effect of subclinical intramammary infection on somatic cell counts, NA Gase activity and gross composition of goat's milk. *Journal of Dairy Research*, 71: 311–315.
- Martin, S. W., Meek A. H. and Willeberg P. (1987).** *Veterinary Epidemiology: Principles and Methods*. Iowa State University Press, Ames.
- McDougall S., Supré K., Vliegheer S. D., Haesebrouck F., Hussein H., Clausen L. and Prosser C. (2010).** Diagnosis and treatment of subclinical mastitis in early lactation in dairy goats. *J. Dairy Sci.* 93:4710-4721
- Min B. R., Tomita G. and Hart S. P. (2007).** Effect of subclinical intramammary infection on somatic cell counts and chemical composition of goats' milk. *J. Dairy Res.*, 74:204-210
- Molefe P., Ronny R. N. and Rarah R. A. M. (2013).** Relationship between Somatic Cell Counts, Mastitis and Milk Quality in Ettawah Grade and PESA Goats. *Agricultural Technology and Biological Sciences. WALailaK J. Walailak J. Sci. & Tech* 10(6): 607- 613.
- Moroni, P., Pisoni G., Antonini, M., Ruffo, G., Carli, S., Varisco, G. and Boettcher, P. (2005a).** Subclinical mastitis and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Staphylococcus caprae* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* isolated from two Italian goat herds. *J. Dairy Sci.* 88:1694–1704.
- Mowlem, A. (2005).** Marketing goat dairy produce in the UK. *Small Ruminant Research*, 60: 207- 213.
- NRC (1981).** Nutrient requirements of domestic animals. Nutrient requirements of goats. National Research Council, Washington D.C.
- Nudda, A., Feligini, M., Battacone, G., Macciotta, N. P. P. and Pulina, G. (2003).** Effects of lactation stage, parity,  $\alpha$ -lactoglobulin genotype and milk SCC on whey protein composition in Sarda dairy ewes. *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.* (2): 29-39.
- Park Y. W., Juarez M., Ramos M., Haenlein G. F. W. (2007).** Physico-chemical characteristics of goat and sheep milk. *Small Ruminant Research*, 68, 88–113.
- Park Y. W. and Nuti L. C. ( 1985).** Electrical conductivity of goat milk in evaluating

## Relationship Between Somatic Cell Count and Udder Health in Damascus Goats

- subclinical mastitis. Proc. 3<sup>rd</sup> AAAP Animal Sci. Congr. May 6-10, 1985. Seoul. Korean Rep. 2, 1272-1274.
- Petrova N. (1997).** Effect of the different somatic cell count on the macro-components in goat's milk. *Bulgarian J. Agric. Sci.* 3 (6), 783-787.
- Pirisi A., Piredda G., Corona M., Pes M., Pintus S. and Ledda A. (2000).** Influence of somatic cell count on ewe's milk composition, cheese yield and cheese quality. In: *Proceedings of 6<sup>th</sup> Great Lakes Dairy Sheep Symposium*, Guelph, Canada, p: 47-59.
- Raynal-Ljutovac K., Pirisi A., de Crémoux R. and Gonzalo C. (2007).** Somatic cells of goats and sheep milk: Analytical, sanitary, productive and technological aspects. *Small Rumin Res* 68: 126-44
- Rota A. M., Gonzalo C., Rodriguez P. L., Rojas A. I., Martin L. and Tovar JJ (1993).** Effects of stage of lactation and parity on somatic cell counts in milk of Verata goats and algebraic models of their lactation curves. *Small Rumin. Res.* 12:211–219.
- SAS, (2000).** Guide for personal computers. SAS Institute Inc. SAS, Cary, NC.
- Smith R. D. (2006).** Veterinary clinical epidemiology, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., Taylor & Francis Group, LLC.
- Smith M. C. and Sherman D. M. (1994).** Reproductive system: in *Goat Medicine* . 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Wiley- Blackwell. Ames, IA., Pages 571- 645.
- Sanchez A. A., Contreras and Corrales J. C. (1999).** Parity as a risk factor for caprine subclinical intermammary infection. *Small Rumin. Res.*, 31: 197- 201.
- Shearer J. K. and Harris B. (2003).** Mastitis in dairy goats. *Anim. Sci. Dept. Florida Coop. Ext. Serv. Inst. Food Agri. Sci.; Univ. Fl. Gainesville, USA*, pp:1-6.
- Schultz L. H. (1977).** Somatic cells in milk: physiological aspects and relationship to amount and composition of milk. *J. Food Prot.* 40, 125-31.
- Strzalkowska N., Jozwik A., Bagnicka E., Krzyzewski J., Horbanczuk K., Pyzel B., Sloniewska D. and Horbanczuk J. O. (2010).** The concentration of free fatty acids in goat milk as related to the stage of lactation, age and somatic cell count. *Animal Science Papers and Reports*, 28 (4): 389–395.
- Tanja S., Karen A., Kerstin B., and Karin K. (2012).** Prevalence of udder infections and effects on milk somatic cell count during lactation in dairy goats. *Rahmann G & Godinho D (Ed.): Tackling the Future Challenges of Organic Animal Husbandry. Proceedings of the 2<sup>nd</sup> OAHC, Hamburg/Trenthorst, Germany, Sep 12-14, 2012.*
- Teleb D. F., El-Saied U. M., Sallam A. A., El-Baz A. M., Hussein A. M. (2009).** Effect of Using Echinacea Extract as Immuno-stimulating Additive on Milk Yield Traits, Immunity and Udder Health of Zaraibi Goats. *Egyptian Journal of Sheep & Goat Sciences*, Vol. 4 (2): 33-53.
- Urech E., Puhan Z. and Schallibaum M. (1999).** Changes in milk protein fraction as affected by subclinical mastitis. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 82: 2402- 2411.
- Vacca G. M., Dettori M. L., Carcangiu, V., Rocchigiani A. M. and Pazzola M. (2010).** Relationships between milk characteristic and somatic cell score in milk from primiparous browsing goats. *Animal Science Journal*, 81, 594–599.
- Ying C. W., Wang H. T. and Hsu J. T. (2002).** Relationship of somatic cell count, physical, chemical and enzymatic properties to the bacterial standard plate count in dairy goat milk. *Livest Prod Sci* 74:63-77.
- Zeng S. S. and Escobar E. N. (1995).** Effect of parity and milk production on somatic cell count, standard plate count and composition of goat milk. *Small Rumin. Res.* 17:269–274.

**Zeng S. S. and Escobar E. N. (1996).** Effect of breed and milking method on somatic cell count, standard plate count and composition of goat milk. *Small Ruminant Research*, 19, 169–175.

**Zumbo A., Chiofalo B., Liotta L., Rundo S. A. and Chiofalo V. (2004):** Quantitative and qualitative milk characteristics of Nebrodi goats. *South African Journal of Animal Science*, 34 (Sup. 1), 210–212.

الملخص العربي

العلاقة بين مستوى تعداد الخلايا الجسدية و صحة الضرع في الماعز الدمشقي

دعاء طلب- حافصة فهمي- عزة الباز- محمد الشربيني  
معهد بحوث الإنتاج الحيواني

كانت هناك علاقة سلبية ولكن غير معنوية بين إنتاج اللبن و تعداد الخلايا الجسدية . أظهرت النتائج أن أعلى إنتاج للبن تم تسجيله في الماعز ذات الولادة الخامسة بينما الأقل في الماعز عند أول ولادة. علاوة على ذلك، كانت النسب المئوية للبروتين ، المواد الصلبة الكلية والمواد الصلبة الغير دهنية أعلى بكثير في الماعز الدمشقي في الموسم الثاني للولادة بالمقارنة بالمواسم الأخرى بالتوازي مع زيادة غير معنوية في نسبة الدهون. ارتبط أعلى معدل لتعداد الخلايا الجسدية بتغير في مكونات اللبن، حيث زادت نسبة كل من البروتين والمواد الصلبة الغير دهنية، بينما انخفضت نسبة اللاكتوز مع زيادة تعداد الخلايا الجسدية في اللبن (بين 6.51-7.25).

وعلى الرغم من إن الحد 1.000.000 خلية جسدية/ مللى أظهر أفضل إشارة إلى الإصابة بالتهاب الضرع من عدمه، إلا أن الدراسة أظهرت أن ارتفاع عدد الخلايا الجسدية لا يعكس دائماً التهاب الضرع و ربما يرجع ذلك إلى طبيعة الغدد المفرزة في الماعز . و ينبغي أن تعتبر الحيوانات التي سجلت ارتفاع في عدد الخلايا الجسدية مصابة بعد النجاح في عزل و تعريف البكتيريا المسببة لالتهاب الضرع. ومع ذلك، في حالة الاشتباه في إصابة الضرع يعتبر تعداد الخلايا الجسدية من الوسائل المناسبة و الأقل في التكلفة لعمل مسح لفرز الحيوانات المصابة من السليمة لحين البدء في مزيد من التحليل.

يهدف هذا البحث الى دراسة العلاقة بين تعداد الخلايا الجسدية و إنتاج الحليب ومكوناته في الماعز الدمشقي بمصر، وكذلك التحقق من مدى صلاحية استخدام تعداد الخلايا الجسدية كدليل على الإصابة المبكرة للضرع .

تم جمع عدد 204 عينة لبن في منتصف فترة الحليب (يونيه 2013) من أنصاف أضراع عدد 51 عنزة دمشقي. تم إجراء الفحص البكتريولوجي في جميع عينات اللبن من أنصاف الأضرع لحلبة الصباح، في حين قدرت نسبة الدهون ( F ) ، البروتين الكلي ( P ) ، اللاكتوز ( L ) ، والمواد الصلبة ( TS ) ، و المواد الصلبة الغير دهنية (SNF) و تعداد الخلايا الجسدية (SSC) في جميع عينات اللبن من حلبة الصباح والمساء. طبقاً للفحص البكتريولوجي، تم تصنيف 68.3 % من أنصاف الأضرع على إنها خالية من البكتريا المسببة لإلتهاب الضرع (سليمة)، بينما كان 31.7 % مصاب. تم عزل سبعة أنواع من الكائنات الدقيقة من لبن الماعز الدمشقي و تم تصنيف العدوى بين الثديين كالتالي:- 1 -سليمة ( 68.3 % ) ، 2 -عدوى بعدد واحد من مسببات الأمراض الرئيسية ( 10.9 % ) ، 3 - عدوى بعدد واحد من مسببات الأمراض الطفيفة ( 7.9 % ) ، 4 - عدوى بعدد 2 من مسببات الأمراض الرئيسية ( 2.97 % ) ، 5 - عدوى بعدد 2 من مسببات الأمراض الطفيفة ( 0.99 % ) و 6 - عدوى بعدد واحد من مسببات الأمراض الرئيسية وواحد من مسببات الأمراض الطفيفة ( 8.9 % ) .

أظهرت النتائج إن إنتاج اللبن كان أعلى معنوية في الماعز السليمة عن المصابة، في حين كانت هناك تغييرات غير معنوية في نسبة كل من البروتين والدهون و اللاكتوز والمواد الصلبة و المواد الصلبة الغير دهنية وتعداد الخلايا الجسدية . كما