# PERFORMANCE OF GOATS FED RATIONS CONTAINING WHOLE SUNFLOWER SEEDS

M. H. El-Shafie and T. El-Ashmawy

Animal Production Research Institute, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

#### ABESTRACT

This study consisted of two major trials, to evaluate milk productin and the other for digestability estimate. Thirty Zaraibi goats of 4-5 years old and 41.04±1.19 kg average body weight were used for milk production trial while 9 Zaraibi bucks were used for digestability trial. Animals were randomly distributed into three groups and fed ration formulated of 50% concentrate feed mixture and 50% berseem hay. Goats in group 1 acted as a control group (G1); goats in group 2 were fed the control group ration but 5% of concentrate mixture was replaced with sunflower seeds (Halianthus annuus) (G2) and goats in group 3 were fed the control ration but 10% of concentrate mixture was replaced with sunflower seeds (G3). The digestion coefficients of DM, CP and NFE are slightly increased by adding sunflower but the differences were not significant among treatment groups. There were no significant differences between groups in ruminal pH, NH<sub>3</sub>-N and TVFA's values as a result of experimental treatment during the same collected time. Milk yield was higher (p<0.05)in G2 and G3 than in G1 group. Addition of sunflower seeds in the goats rations increased (p<0.05) milk fat, protein and total solids while decreased lactose percent. Addition of 10% sunflower seed showed higher serum total lipid, triglyceride than those of 5% sunflower seed or control with significant differences (P<0.05) during suckling and lactation periods while, The concentration of serum chlesterol was significantly lower in treated groups than control group espesialy with 10 % sunflower. The goats in control group had nearly the same mean serum glucose level compared to the other two groups, while the serum glucose concentration

was slightly higher in suckling periob than lactation period in all groups.

#### KEYWORDS: Goats, Sunflower, Digestbility, Milk

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Goats are an important source of meat and milk (French, 1970 and Devendra & Burns, 1983). These animals are characterized by their ability to use wastes, fibrous plant material not eaten by other species of animals. There are presently more than 460 million goats worldwide producing more than 4.5 million tons of milk and 1.2 million tons of meat (Haenlein, 1992).

Although nutrient requirements are relatively well-defined for sheep and cattle, there is still a lack of reliable information in the literature about the nutritional needs of lactating goats. (Aguilera *et al.*, 1990).

Sunflower consider the third oil crop in the world where it has high nutritive value, high percentage of protein and essential fatty acids which contain non-saturated fatty acids. Meanwhile, its content of vitamin E, zinc, magnesium, iron, phosphorus, copper, and selenium are more in there availability when cultivated in new saline land by three times. Total cultivated area is around 40,000 feddan, in Egypt (Agricultural Research Centre , **ARC**, **2005**).

It is worthy noting that, linseed oil or sunflower oil supplementation (at rate 5-6% of the ration) reduces the "goaty" taste in milk or fresh cheese, linked to the lower secretion of lipase and reduced post-milking lipolysis (**Chilliard** *et al.*, **2003**).

#### PERFORMANCE OF GOATS FED RATIONS CONTAINING WHOLE SUNFLOWER SEEDS

The objective of the present work was conducted to maeasure impact of feedingrations containing sunflower seeds on goats performance and milk yield and composition.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study consisted of two trials, one for milk productin while the second for digestability evaluation.

# Milk production trial

Thirty Zaraibi goats aged 4-5 years and averaged 41.04±1.19 kg body weight were used. At the last month of pregnancy animals were randomly distributed into three feeding groups. The first, control group, G-1, fed basal ration formulated of 50% concentrate feed mixture + 50% berseem hay (on DM basis) according to NRC (1981) allowances for production of 1-2 kg milk/head/day. Goats in group 2 were fed the control ration with replace 5% of concentrate mixture with sunflower seeds (Halianthus annuus) (G2)and goats in group 3 were fed the control ration with replace 10% of concentrate mixture with sunflower seeds (G3).

After parturition kids were allowed to suckle their dams up to weaning at minimum body weight of 11 kg with minimum age ranged from 8 to 10 weeks. During the suckling period, milk production was measured every two weeks by hand milking twice daily (6 am and 5 pm). Milk yield was individually recorded for animals in all groups and samples were taken for chemical analysis. The total milk yield for a doe at the day of milking represent her average daily milk yield during the previous two weeks. During the day of milking, kids were removed from their dams and allowed to suckle other does. After the end of suckling, machine milking was applied for all of the experimental does twice daily up to the end of lactation where milk yield was individually measured at each milking time using Tru-Test milk meter fixed on the milk line. Milk samples were collected every two weeks through milk meter for chemical analysis. Milk composition was analyzed by milk scan apperatus.

Blood samples were taken from all animals to determine the concentration of AST, ALT, triglyceride, cholesterol, total protein and glucose.

# **Digestibility trial**

Nine Zaraibi bucks (3 of each treatment group) were used to determine nutrients digestion coefficient and nutritive values of the experimental rations. The animals were kept in separate metabolic cages. Each trial consisted of 14 days as a preliminary period followed by 7 days collection period and fed with the same regime of the previous trial. Representative samples of feedstuffs and feces were analyzed to determine CP, CF, EE and ash according to A.O.A.C. (1990). Chemical composition of tested feedstuffs is presented in Table (1). Rumen liquor samples were obtained via rubber stomach tube before feeding and at 3 and 6 hours after feeding to determine rumen pH, Ammonia-N concentration and Total Volatile Fatty Acids (TVFA's). Chemical composition of tested feedstuffs are presented in Table (1).

Statistical analysis for the obtained data was performed according to SAS General Liner Models Procedure (**SAS**, 1985).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Digestibility trial

Table (2) show that DM, OM, CF, NFE and ash content were nearly similar among the experimental treatment diets. However, CP and EE showed relatively higher values in G-3 compared to G-1 and G-2.)

The digestion coefficients of DM, CP and NFE (Table 3) are slightly increased by adding sunflower but the differences were not significant among treatment groups, while the digestion coefficient of EE was increased (P<0.05) in 10% sunflower supplemented groups than control. These results are in agreement with the results obtained by Petit et al. (2004). In contrast, digestion coefficient of OM and CF decreased by adding sunflower but difference was significant (P<0.05) only for CF in G-3 compared to control one. These results are in agreement with Anderson et al. (1984) who reported that digestion coefficient of CF tended to be lower with sunflower seed supplemented diet and Jenkins (1993) who recorded that vegetable oil often depress animal fiber digestion because fiber digestibility is adversely affected by dietary fat.

Table (3) show that TDN was decreased with inceasing sunflower in tested rations, while DCP was increased, but insignificantly..

The effect of sunflower supplement on ruminal parametrs is presented in Table (4). There were no significant differences among groups in pH, NH<sub>3</sub>-N and TVFA's values within the same collection time. However, among sampling times 0, 3 and 6 after feeding, pH values in all goats were significantly lower at 3 hrs post-feeding than at 0 and 6 hrs. On the other hand, NH<sub>3</sub>-N and TVFA's concentrations were significantly higher at 3 hrs post-feeding than at 0 and 6 hrs. These results are in agreement with the those obtained by France and Siddons (1993) and Kucuk et al. (2004).

# Milk production trial Milk yield:

Changes in the average daily milk yield of the different studied groups are presented in Table (5) and Fig. (1).

Lactation curves had the same general trend among all groups, being the highst at  $1^{\rm st}$  month of lactation (1.83, 2.10 and 2.07 kg/day for control; 5% sunflower and 10% sunflower, respectively), then decreased gradually up to the end of the  $7^{\text{th}}$  month.

Milk yield was higher (p<0.05) in groups G2 and G3 than G1. The apparent differences in daily milk yield throughout lactation period might be due to the better digestibility and high amount of available energy in the rations contaning sunflower seeds in G2 and G3. The changes in milk yield were very limited between  $2^{nd}$  and  $4^{th}$  month of lactation then the yield declined sharply to the end of lactation. The resultant lactation curve for Zaraibi goats agrees with El-Gallad *et al.*, (1988) estimates on the same breed.

#### **Chemical composition of milk**

Chemical compositions of milk of different groups in the suckling and lactation periods are presented in table (6). It could be observed that fat, protein and total solids percentages were higher during suckling period than lactation period while lactose percent was increased in lactaion period than suckling period. These results dis agrees with results of Mashaly et al., 1984 on Egyptian Baladi goats, Eissa, 1996 on Barki goats and Hadjipanavitou and Koumas, 1991 on Damascus goats who found that milk fat during suckling period was less than lactation period. Hassan et al., 1986 and El-Gallad et al., 1988 reported that milk protein in Zaraibi ranged from 2.30 to 3.84 %. Milk total solids values ranged from 10.7% in Alpine (Lu, 1993) to 14.9% in Beetal goats (Verma and Chawla, 1984).

Addition of sunflower seeds to the goat's ration increased (p<0.05) milk fat, protein and total solids while decreased lactose percent. This result agree with the result of **Schmidely and Sauvant, 2001** that addition of non protected fat increased milk fat content. Meanwhile, the increase in milk fat content with the addition of calcium salts of fatty acids was more marked for ewes than goats.

#### **Blood parameters:**

Table (7) presents that goats fed 10% sunflower seed showed higher total lipid and triglyceride than those fed 5% sunflower seed or control with significant differences (P<0.05) during suckling and lactation periods, while the concentration of triglyceride was relatevily higher in suckling period than lactation period. This result is not in agreement with **Zicarelli**, **1988** who reported that serum triglycerides concentrations increase during lactation and show a positive correlation with milk fat levels. The values of serum triglycerides are usually considered as indicators of nutrition level, where they increase with high-fat diets (**Bertoni, 1989**).

The concentration of chlesterol was significantly lower in treated groups than control group, espesialy with 10 % sunflower. (Table 7). **Binkoski** *et al.*, (2005) reported that sunflower oil diet decreased both total and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels. Total cholesterol decreased by 4.7% and lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol decreased by 5.8% with no effect of the experimental diets on triglyceride levels.

The control goats had nearly the same mean serum glucose level compared to the other two groups, while the serum glucose concentration was slightly higher in suckling period than lactation period. **Hyvärinen** *et al.* **1976** reported that because the changes in blood glucose level may be very rapid and are affected by numerous external and internal factors, it is difficult to differentiate between the effects of nutrition and season and the potential effects of animal handling.

It would be noted also that though sunflower seed feedsupplement have positive effect of increasing the energy density of the diet, it may also suppress rumen function if given in large amounts (**Bartley 1989 and McDonald** *et al.*, **1995**).

#### CONCLUSION

Replacment of 5% or 10% of concentrate by sunflower seeds of the goat's rations improved nutrient digestablities, ruminal parameters, milk yeild, milk fat percetage and decrease serum cholesterol.

#### REFERENCES

**Aguilera, J. F. ; C. Prieto and J. Fonolla (1990).** Protein and energy metabolism of lactating Granadina goats. British Journal of Nutrition.,63: 165-175.

Anderson, M. J.; Obadiah, Y.E.M.; Boman, R.L. and Walters, J.L. (1984). Comparison of whole cottonseed, extruded soybeans, or whole sunflower seeds for lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci., 67: 569-573.

**A.O.A.C.** (1990). Official methods of analysis, 14<sup>th</sup> ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington DC.

**A.R.C. (2005).** Agricultural Research Council. The Nutrient Requirements of Ruminant Livestock, Commonwealth Agriculture al Bureaux, Farnham Royal, Slough.

**Bartley, J. C. (1989)** Lipid metabolism and its diseases. In: Kaneko JJ (ed) Clinical Biochemistry of Domestic Animals. 4th Edition. Academic Press, New York, p 106-141.

**Bertoni, G. (1989).** Effetti e livello ematico e produttivo della somministrazione di grasso animale a bovine da latte ante e post partum. Zoot. Nutr. Anim., 15 (4): 341-354.

Binkoski, A. E., . Kris-Etherton, P. M., . Wilson, T. A., Mountain, M.L. and Nicolosi, R.J. (2005) Balance of Unsaturated Fatty Acids Is Important to a Cholesterol-Lowering Diet: Comparison of Mid-Oleic Sunflower Oil and Olive Oil on Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors. J. American Dietetic Association. 105: 1080-1086

**Brežnik S. ; Kovač M. ; Kompan D. and Malovrh S. (1997).** Genetic and environmental parameters of test day for milk yield, fat, protein and lactose content of dairy goats. In: Proc. 48th Annual Meeting of the EAAP, Vienna, 1997.

Chilliard Y.; Ferlay A.; Rouel J. and Lamberet G. A. (2003.). Review of nutritional and physiological factorsaffecting goat milk lipid synthesis and lipolysis. J Dairy Sci 86: 1751–1770.

Ciappesoni C.G. ; Milerski M. and Pribyl J. (2002a). Parámetros productivos de cabras de la raza checa marrón de pelo corto. In: XXVII Jornadas ientíficas y VI Jornadas Internacionales SEOC, 19–21 de septiembre Valencia (España), 819–825.

**Devendra, C. and M. Burns (1983).** GOAT PRODUCTION IN THE TROPICS. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., CAB., Edinburgh.

**Eissa, M.M.(1996).** Improving productivity of desert Barki goats by crossing with Damascus goats with special reference to milk production. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Alex. Univ.

**El-Gallad, T.T.; E.A. Gihad; S.M. Allam and T.M.El-Badawy (1988).** Effect of energy intake and roughage ratio on the lactation of Egyptian Nubian (Zaraibi) goats. J. Small Ruminant Res., 1:327-341.

**France, J. and R.C. Siddons (1993).** Volatile fatty acid production. In: Quantilitive Aspects Ruminant Digestion and Metabolisim (Eds., J.M. Forbes and J. Frace). C.A.B. International, Willingford, UK.

French, M. H. (1970). Observations on the goats. FAO Agricultural Studies. No. 80. FAO Push, Roma, 204 pp.

Giaccone, P. ; Portolano, B. ; Bonanno, A. ; Alicata, M.L. and Todaro, M. (1995). Aspetti quanti-qualitativi della produzione lattea nella popolazione caprina Derivata di Siria. Zoot. Nutr. Anim., 21, 97-109.

Hadjipanayiotou, M. and A.Koumas (1991). Effect of protein source on performance of lactating Damascus goats. Small Ruminant Res., 5:319-326.

Haenlein, G.F.W. (1992) . Role of goat meat and milk in human nutrition. In: Lokeshwar, R.R. (Ed.), Proceedings of the V International Conference on Goats. vol. II. International Goat Association, New Delhi, India, pp. 575–580.

Hassan,G.A.; F. D. El-Nouty ; M. A. Samak and M. H. Salem (1986). Relationship between milk production and some blood constituents in Egyptian Baladi goats. Beitrage zur Tropischen Landwirtschaft und Veterinarmedizin., 24(2): 213-219. Hyvärinen H.; Helle T.; Nieminen M.; Väyrynen P. and Vayrynen R. (1976) Some effects of handling reindeer during gatherings on the composition of their blood. Anim Prod 22: 105-114.

Jenkins, T. C. (1993). Lipid metabolism in the rumen. J. Dairy Sci. 76:3851–3863.

Kucuk, O. ; B. W. Hess and D. C. Rule (2004). Soybean oil supplementation of a high-concentrate diet does not affect site and extent of organic matter, starch, neutral detergent fiber, or nitrogen digestion, but influences both ruminal metabolism and intestinal flow of fatty acids in limit-fed lambs. J. Anim. Sci. 2004. 82:2985-2994.

**Lu, C.D.** (1993). Implication of feeding isoenergetic diets containing animals fat on milk composition of Alpine does during early lactation. J. Dairy Sci., 76:1137-1147.

Mashaly,R.I.; S.A. El-Deeb; F.D.El-Nouty; G.A.Hassan and M.H. Salem (1984). Changes in milk yield and in milk chemical and physical properties during lactation period in Egyptian Baladi goats. Egyptian J. Dairy Sci., 12(2):123-134.

Mc Donald, P.; Edwards R.A.; Greenhalgh J.F.D. and Morgan, C.A. (1995). Animal Nutrition. 5<sup>th</sup> Edition. Longman Scientific & Techical, Harlow.

Milerski, M. and Mares V. (2001). Analysis of systematic factors affecting milk production in dairy goat. Acta Univ. Agric. et Silvic. Mendel. Brun (Brno), 1, 43–50.

NRC (1981). Nutrient requirements of goats in temperate and tropical countries. National Research Council. National Academy Press, Washington DC.

**Petit, H. V. ; C. Germiquet and D. Lebel (2004).** Effect of feeding whole, unprocessed sunflower seeds and flaxseed on milk production, milk composition, and prostaglandin secretion in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 87:3889–3898.

SAS (1985). SAS User's Guide: Statistics SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC.

#### PERFORMANCE OF GOATS FED RATIONS CONTAINING WHOLE SUNFLOWER SEEDS

Schmidely, Ph. and Sauvant, D. (2001). Fat content yield and composition of milk in small ruminants: effects of concentrate level and addition of fat. INRA Prod. Anim., 14(5), 337-354.

الثالثة إستبدل 10 % من العلف المركز ببذور عباد شمس شمس كاملة

أدت المعاملات إلى تحسين معامل هضم المادة الجافة و البروتين الخام و المستخلص الخالي من الأزوت. لم تكن هناك إختلافات معنوية في مواصفات سائل الكرش بين المجمو عات لكل وقت من أوقات جمع العينات. زاد معدل إنتاج اللبن اليومي و تركيز دهن اللبن و كذلك وهما تجربة هضم و تجربة إنتاج لبن حيث أستخدم في الجوامد الكلية للبن في مجموعتي المعاملتين عن مجموعة تجربة الهضم عدد 9 تيوس ماعز زرايبي و في تجربة الكنترول. أدت الإضافة الى زيادة محتوى مصل الدم من إنتاج اللبن عدد 30 عنزة زرايبي. قسمت الحيوانات في الدهون الكلية و الجلسريدات الثلاثية في حين إنخفض التجربتين إلى ثلاث مجموعات وغذيت جميع المجموعات محتوى الدم من الكوليستيرول في مجموعتي المعاملتين على علائق مكونة من 50% علف مركز و 50% دريس عن مجموعة الكنترول كما كان معدل التغير في جلكوز الدم برسيم بحيث تغطى إحتياجات حفظ الحياة و إنتاج 1-2 كجم محدود في حين كان أكثر في مرحلة الرضاعة عن مرحلة

Verma, N.K. and D.S.Chawla (1984). Variation in milk composition in dairy goats. Indian J. Anim. Sci., 54(6):539-543.

Zicarelli, L. (1988). Variazioni stagionali ed aziendali del profilo metabolico nei bufali. Zoot. Nutr. Anim., 8: 321-356.

أشتملت هذه الدراسة على تجربتين أساسيتين لبن/يوم تبعا لمقررات (NRC (1981) . المجموعة الأولى الحليب في كل المجاميع. تمثل الكنترول و في المجموعة الثانية إستبدل 5 % و في

Table (1): Chemical composition of tested feedstuffs.

Item	Chemical composition (on DM basis)							
	DM	OM	СР	CF	EE	NFE	Ash	
CFM	89.91	87.73	14.42	12.11	3.51	57.69	12.27	
Sunflower	90.53	92.98	16.67	18.31	21.74	36.26	7.02	
seeds								
Fresh Berseem	17.53	84.99	14.22	26.25	1.19	43.13	15.01	
Berseem hay	90.43	89.16	12.84	27.92	3.07	45.33	10.84	

Table (2): The calculated chemical composition on DM basis (%) for tested rations.

Item	DM	OM	СР	CF	EE	NFE	Ash
G-1, Control	90.21	88.34	13.72	19.72	3.25	51.74	11.66
G-2, 5% sunflower	90.15	88.52	13.75	19.34	3.76	51.67	11.48
G-3, 10% sunflower	89.81	87.93	14.51	19.23	4.25	49.94	12.07

Item	Experimental rations						
	G-1, Control G-2, 5%		G-3, 10%				
		sunflower	sunflower				
Mean, LBW (kg)	44.6±0.72	45.3±0.98	45.4±1.18				
Nutrients digestib	ility coefficient:						
DM	65.68±0.33 <sup>a</sup>	$67.89 \pm 0.79^{a}$	$68.61 \pm 0.89^{a}$				
OM	71.92±0.69 <sup>a</sup>	$70.72 \pm 0.63^{a}$	$69.57 \pm 0.70^{a}$				
СР	$67.32 \pm 1.56^{a}$	$68.95 \pm 0.31^{a}$	$69.42 \pm 0.86^{a}$				
CF	$65.71 \pm 2.26^{a}$	63.41±0.19 <sup>ab</sup>	$60.36 \pm 2.07^{b}$				
EE	$68.69 \pm 1.33^{b}$	$71.55 \pm 1.32^{ab}$	$73.36 \pm 1.17^{a}$				
NFE	$75.71 \pm 0.71^{a}$	$76.88{\pm}1.08^{a}$	$77.25 \pm 2.36^{a}$				
Nutritive values							
TDN	$67.44 \pm 1.28^{a}$	$66.22 \pm 0.98^{a}$	66.01±0.95 <sup>a</sup>				
DCP	$10.11 \pm 0.18^{a}$	10.46±0.17 <sup>a</sup>	10.61±0.09 <sup>a</sup>				

 Table (3): Average digestion coefficients and nutritive values of the experimental rations.

Means within a raw with different superscripts are significantly different at (P<0.05).

Table (4): Effect of experimental rations on ruminal pH, NH<sub>3</sub>-N and TVFA's concentrations

	Experimental ration							
Item	G-1, Control	G-2, 5% Sunflower	G-3, 10% sunflower					
Ruminal pH								
0 hr	$7.25 \pm 0.06^{a}$	$6.95 \pm 0.12^{a}$	$6.91{\pm}0.20^{a}$					
3 hr	$5.40 \pm 0.15^{b}$	$5.41 \pm 0.13^{b}$	$5.52 \pm 0.13^{b}$					
6 hr	7.43 $\pm$ 0.18 <sup>a</sup> 6.75 $\pm$ 0.07 <sup>a</sup> 6.61 $\pm$ 0.2		$6.61 \pm 0.22^{a}$					
Ruminal NH <sub>3</sub> -	Ruminal NH <sub>3</sub> -N concentration (mg/100 ml RL).							
0 hr	$19.37 \pm 1.38^{b}$	$19.68 \pm 1.30^{b}$	$20.93 \pm 1.72^{b}$					
3 hr	$22.26 \pm 0.93^{a}$	$23.25 \pm 0.96^{a}$	$24.31{\pm}1.07^{a}$					
6 hr	$19.15 \pm 0.60^{b} \qquad 19.57 \pm 0.59^{b} \qquad 21.27 \pm 0.60^{b}$		$21.27 \pm 0.67^{b}$					
Ruminal TVFA's concentration (meq/100 ml RL).								
0 hr	$13.62 \pm 2.77^{b}$	$9.57{\pm}0.40^{b}$	$9.50{\pm}0.86^{b}$					
3 hr	$18.43 \pm 3.51^{a}$	$14.27 \pm 0.43^{a}$	13.19±0.35 <sup>a</sup>					
6 hr	$14.72 \pm 2.91^{b}$	±2.91 <sup>b</sup> 10.91±0.23 <sup>b</sup> 9.95±0.82						

a,b :Means within row with different superscripts are significantly different at (P<0.05).

# PERFORMANCE OF GOATS FED RATIONS CONTAINING WHOLE SUNFLOWER SEEDS

I estation manths	Treatment					
Lactation months	Control (G1)	5% sunflower (G2)	10% sunflower (G3)			
1	$1.83 \pm 0.29^{b}$	$2.10\pm0.11^{a}$	$2.07 \pm 0.11^{a}$			
2	$1.37 \pm 0.10^{b}$	$1.76 \pm 0.03^{ab}$	<b>1.91±0.06<sup>a</sup></b>			
3	$1.40{\pm}0.04^{\rm b}$	1.69±0.06 <sup>ab</sup>	$1.89 \pm 0.05^{a}$			
4	$1.43 \pm 0.05^{b}$	$1.54{\pm}0.05^{b}$	$1.87 \pm 0.05^{a}$			
5	$0.97 {\pm} 0.06^{\rm b}$	$0.99 \pm 0.05^{b}$	$1.32 \pm 0.07^{a}$			
6	$0.71 \pm 0.04^{b}$	$0.67 \pm 0.03^{b}$	0.90±0.03 <sup>a</sup>			
7	0.57±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	$0.58 {\pm} 0.08^{\rm b}$	$0.90 \pm 0.07^{a}$			

Table (5): LSq Means of daily milk yield (kg/h/d) of does in different treatment groups during the lactation period.

a, b Means within the same column with different superscripts are significantly different at P<0.05

Table (6) Chemical composition of milk for treated groups during suckling and lactation periods.

Period	Treatment	Fat	Protein	Lactose	Ash	<b>T.S.</b>
	Control	3.81±0.19 <sup>b</sup>	4.70±0.17 <sup>b</sup>	2.81±0.23 <sup>a</sup>	0.77±0.01	12.08±0.59 <sup>b</sup>
Suckling	5 %	4.28±0.10 <sup>ab</sup>	4.74±0.13 <sup>b</sup>	2.80±0.34 <sup>a</sup>	0.83±0.01	12.65±0.39
Sucking	10 %	<b>4.98±0.18</b> <sup>a</sup>	5.14±0.27 <sup>a</sup>	2.19±0.13	0.83±0.02	13.13±0.34 <sup>a</sup>
	Control	2.77±0.07 <sup>b</sup>	<b>3.47±0.21</b> <sup>a</sup>	3.46±0.21 <sup>a</sup>	0.70±0.01	10.40±0.12 <sup>a</sup>
Lactation	5 %	3.21±0.12 ab	3.26±0.25 <sup>b</sup>	3.10±0.07 b	0.74±0.02	10.30±0.27 <sup>b</sup>
Lactation	10 %	3.37±0.13 <sup>a</sup>	3.25±0.31 <sup>b</sup>	3.02±0.17 b	0.73±0.01	10.36±0.32 <sup>a</sup>

a, b Means within the same column with different superscripts are significantly different at P < 0.05

Table (7) Average of some blood parameters during suckling and lactation periodes for different treatments.

Period	Treatment	Total lipids	Triglyceride	Cholesterol	Glucose
	Control	156.8±31.8 <sup>b</sup>	69.6±10.64 <sup>c</sup>	<b>86.7</b> ±7.7 <sup>a</sup>	58.1±5.66
Suckling	5 %	317.4±12.1 <sup>a</sup>	$86.6 \pm 12.62^{b}$	66.9±3.59 <sup>b</sup>	58.6±5.33
Sucking	10 %	$357.7 \pm 21.0^{a}$	1002.±9.89 <sup>a</sup>	<b>59.4±3.71<sup>c</sup></b>	56.7±4.46
	Control	224.3±22.4 <sup>b</sup>	$46.50 \pm 1.22^{a}$	86.7±6.30 <sup>a</sup>	45.9±3.06 <sup>b</sup>
Lactation	5 %	469.9±85.9 <sup>a</sup>	71.1±15.28 <sup>ab</sup>	<b>83.2±8.73</b> <sup>a</sup>	53.5±4.54 <sup>a</sup>
Lactation	10 %	<b>502.1±88.8</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>87.6±16.87</b> <sup>a</sup>	77 <b>.</b> 95±7.59 <sup>b</sup>	$49.6 \pm 2.48^{b}$

a, b and c Means within the same column with different superscripts are significantly different at P < 0.05